**Items to be addressed within the discussion:**

Relate the most compelling portions of evidence as they relate to this unit – DNA & serology

Rank the evidence in terms of each piece’s “worth” – meaning what does each prove or not prove (or, in other words, what is the probative value of each)

What is your expert opinion based upon your knowledge of DNA analysis as to whether or not the case was resolved correctly.

Speak to how the DNA was analyzed (method of analysis and if this was most effective) – should DNA have been analyzed using more precise method and why?

Be prepared to defend your assertion(s) using your knowledge of DNA as well as other expert opinions (with citations) and inferences as to how this evidence was received by the jurors

What was the prior history of DNA evidence used in criminal cases?

Why did jurors receive evidence the way they did?

How would this be different if re-tried today?

Minimum vocabulary expected to be used appropriately within the discussion:

RFLP, VNTR, STR, PCR, Random Match Probability, CSI Effect, Probative value, Material value, denaturation, expert witness testimony, discretization, case law, individual evidence, class evidence